


IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA



In The Matter of:)
)
MODIFYING AND REDEFINING)
THE PURPOSES, MEMBERSHIP, AND)
ORGANIZATION OF THE COMMISSION)
ON TECHNOLOGY)
_____)

Administrative Order
No. 98- 9 _____
(Revising and Replacing
Administrative Order
No. 90-21)

On June 6, 1990, by Administrative Order No. 90-21, the Court established the Committee on Technology (now referred to as the Commission on Technology), as a standing committee of the Arizona Judicial Council.

The Council now desires to modify, redefine, and extend some of the Commission's Purposes, Membership, and Organization from those adopted by Administrative Order 90-21.

Now, therefore,

IT IS ORDERED THAT the standing Committee on Technology, established under Administrative Order No. 90-21 is renamed the Commission on Technology.

1. Purposes. The Commission on Technology shall:
 - a. Establish the goal, policies and priorities for the statewide Judicial Information Technology Plan.
 - b. Determine the allocation of available Judicial Enhancement Collection Funds and Traffic Case Processing Funds for automation grant requests and projects consistent with the direction, standards and priorities of the Judicial Strategic Business and Information Technology Plans. The Administrative Director shall determine the amount of these funds which are available for this purpose.
 - c. Oversee the statewide judicial department data communications network, including establishing security standards and procedures.
 - d. Develop and submit for approval statewide technical standards which shall be used in all court automation projects, including security, disaster recovery and communication standards.

- e. Oversee the selection, development and support of automation systems used by multiple courts and supported by the Administrative Office of the Courts.
- f. Encourage projects which utilize technology to increase accessibility to the courts, improve court efficiency and improve court management.
- g. Review and approve county-wide court information technology plans for consistency with the Judiciary's Strategic Business and Information Technology Plans.
- h. Review and approve or disapprove court technology projects that exceed a cost of \$250,000. The Commission may establish the policies and procedures for the submission of project plans.
- i. Monitor the progress of all court automation projects pursuant to county-wide court information technology plan.

2. Membership. Commission membership shall be appointed by the Chief Justice and shall include representatives of the Court of Appeals, the superior court, courts of limited jurisdiction, the State Bar of Arizona, a member of the public, a clerk of superior court, an Administrative Office of the Courts representative, and such other members as may be appointed at the discretion of the Chief Justice.

3. Terms of Members. Commission members shall be appointed by the Chief Justice and may be re-appointed for successive terms.

4. Responsibilities of Members. Commission members shall actively participate in Commission meetings, the administration of Commission affairs, and advisory committees.

5. Organization. The Chief Justice shall appoint Commission leadership as needed to organize the Commission's activities. Advisory committees to help the Commission carry out its responsibilities may be appointed by the chairperson. Standing Advisory Committees include:

Large Volume Courts Automation Committee

Purpose: The Large Volume Courts Committee will oversee the development of the large volume automation system, coordinating its integrated implementation in the participating courts.

Membership: This Subcommittee, co-chaired by a representative from a Pima County court and a Maricopa County court, will include a representative from Maricopa County Superior Court Administration, Maricopa County Office of the Clerk of Superior Court, Maricopa County Justice Court, Phoenix

Municipal Court, Pima County Superior Court Administration, Pima County Office of the Clerk of Superior Court, Pima County Consolidated Justice Courts, Tucson Municipal Court, and other such members as the chairperson may appoint.

Technical Advisory Council

Purpose: The Technical Advisory Council will respond to Commission requests to recommend specific standards and technologies to carry out state-wide policies and priorities. They may also be requested to review technical aspects of automation plans and grant requests and make recommendations regarding technical standards and approaches.

Membership: This subcommittee will be chaired by the Information Technology Division Director of the Administrative Office of the Courts. The Commission chairperson will appoint representatives from information technology departments supporting court automation.

6. Meetings. The Commission shall meet no less than twice a year, and additional meetings may be called at the discretion of the Commission chairperson. All meetings shall be publicly posted and open to the public.

7. Actions. The Commission shall adopt rules for conducting Commission business. These rules shall prescribe the quorum and majority needed to constitute Commission actions.

8. Staff. Under the direction of the Chief Justice, the Administrative Office of the Courts shall provide staff for the Commission and may conduct or coordinate research as recommended by the Commission.

DATED this 5th day of February, 1998.

THOMAS A. ZLAKET
Chief Justice